Phenomenology in clinical practice

Helsinki 13 november 2010 Carl Edvard Rudebeck

Why philosophy?

- The natural attitude
- The Fall of Man reflection
- Not just perceiving the world knowing one is perceiving
- Not just knowing knowing one is knowing
- Knowing as questioning

The questions

- What is "being"? (metaphysics, ontology)
- How do we make correct propositions/gain valid knowledge about aspects of being (epistemology)

The positions

- Idealism/rationalism ideas are the supreme/divine reality and/or bears true knowledge
- Scepticism/empirism only perceptions (impressions) give true knowledge

Phenomenology – position in between

- Philosophy of experience
- Realism and the bracketting of the real
- The phenomenon
- The directedness of consciousness
- Vision is more than vision, hearing more then hearing
- The reduction "to the things themselves"
- The life-world

The natural attitude in contemporary clinical practice

- Symptom diagnosis therapy: that's it
- The humanly as an adding or noice
- The "reality" of experience: Central pain sensitisation, serotonin levels, oxytocin, mirror neurons

The phenomenological reduction – To clinical practice itself



The reflected experience

The original experience

The symptom presentation

- The experience
- The interpretations
- The feelings
- The expectations

The experience

- The self as body
- The existential anatomy
- The body as "other than me"

The heart

The heart beats in the chest, in the depth of the heart but still vulnerably close to the surface. One feels its steady rhythm, it is life, no more, no less, that moves in there. In excitement and strain, the heart takes over the whole chest, almost the whole body.

The heart is the heart of will and decisions, and the sensible target of critical remarks. It bears the emotions that withstand the reasonable: love heats, grief aches, happiness jumps, fear squeezes.

The heart divides the time given to each one of us. One of those beats will be the last. That is impossible to deny, yet it often is.

The physian's two epistemological questions

The intersubjective question

How can I be sure enough that the patient experiences what I believe her/him to experience?

The biomedical question

How can I find the objective correlate of the experience?

On empathy With inspiration from Edith Stein 1917

- The intersubjektive touch the "field of gravitation" of the other
- Empathetic imagination and creative cooperation
- Perception-emotion-cognition
- Yours is yours and mine is mine
- Is not about analogy or association
- Impossible to avoid impossible to perfect
- The ethical implication

The dimensions of a physician's bodily empathy

- General that which anybody has but developed through practice
- Specific integrated patient experiences
- Biological knowing the facts as a ground for understanding

The empathetic fields of a GP

- The existential anatomy
- The self experience
- Vulnerability and suffering
- The unfathomable other